### CITY OF HUNTINGTON BEACH Huntington Beach, California

**Single Audit Report on Federal Awards** 

For the year ended June 30, 2020

## **Single Audit Report on Federal Awards**

## For the year ended June 30, 2020

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Governmental Auditing Standards</i>	1
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	8
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	10
Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings	12



# Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Huntington Beach Huntington Beach, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Huntington Beach, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City of Huntington Beach's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 2020.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City of Huntington Beach's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Huntington Beach's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Huntington Beach's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Huntington Beach, California Page 2

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City of Huntington Beach's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Irvine, California

Davis fan ur



## Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Huntington Beach Huntington Beach, California

#### Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of Huntington Beach's compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City of Huntington Beach's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2020. The City of Huntington Beach's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City of Huntington Beach's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirement of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City of Huntington Beach's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City of Huntington Beach's compliance.

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Huntington Beach, California Page 2

#### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City of Huntington Beach complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2020.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of Huntington Beach is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City of Huntington Beach's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City of Huntington Beach's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Huntington Beach, California Page 3

## Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by Uniform Guidance

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Huntington Beach as of and for the year ended June 30, 2020 and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 2020, which contained an unmodified opinion on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Irvine, California

Javis fan up

February 24, 2021, except for our report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, for which the date is December 16, 2020

#### Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the year ended June 30, 2020

Federal Grantor Program Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Identification Number	Current Year Federal Expenditures	Disbursements to Subrecipients	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development					
Direct Programs: Community Development Block Grants Cluster Entitlement Grants	14.218 14.218 14.218 14.218 14.218	B-17-MC-06-0506 B-18-MC-06-0506 B-19-MC-06-0506 Program Income Revolving Loan Funds	\$ 135,413 231,802 793,645 147,996 326,414	\$ - 50,814 52,970	
Subtotal Community Development Block Grants Cluste	r		1,635,270	103,784	
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239 14.239 14.239 14.239 14.239 14.239	M-15-MC-06-0514 M-16-MC-06-0514 M-17-MC-06-0514 M-18-MC-06-0514 M-19-MC-06-0514 Program Income	19,034 20,384 10,000 4,742 73,619 783,260	19,034 20,384 - - - - 783,260	
Subtotal HOME Investment Partnerships Program			911,039	822,678	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Devel	opment		2,546,309	926,462	
U.S. Department of Justice					
Direct Programs: Equitable Sharing Program	16.922	CA0301000	135,734	_	
Passed through California Office of Emergency Services:	10.322	C/10301000			
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	LE18016860	112,654	-	
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588	LE19036860	94,639		
Subtotal Violence Against Women Formula Grants			207,293		
Total U.S. Department of Justice			343,027		
U.S. Department of Transportation Passed through California Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction Cluster					
Bridge Preventive Maintenance - Non ARRA	20.205	BPMPL-5181 (169)	40,000	-	
Bridge Preventive Maintenance - Non ARRA Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205 20.205	BPMPL-5181 (172) HSIPL-5181(180), HSIP6-12-004	2,399 74,137	-	
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5181(183), HSIP6-12-005	178,452	-	
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5181(184), HSIP6-12-006	33,084	-	
Highway Safety Improvement Program Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205 20.205	HSIPL-5181(185), HSIP6-12-007 HSIPL-5181(186), HSIP6-12-008	332,958 269,867	-	
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5181(181), HSIP6-12-002	856,990	-	
Highway Safety Improvement Program	20.205	HSIPL-5181(182), HSIP6-12-003	392,505		
Subtotal Highway Planning and Construction Cluster			2,180,392		
Passed through California Office of Traffic Safety: Highway Safety Cluster					
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	PT19050 (402PT-19)	40,691	-	
State and Community Highway Safety State and Community Highway Safety	20.600 20.600	PT20061 (402PT-20) PS20009 (402PS-20)	63,670 16,004	_	
Subtotal Highway Safety Cluster	20.000	1320003 (1021.3.20)	120,365		
- , ,	20.608	DT100F0 (164AL 10)			
Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for	20.608	PT19058 (164AL-19) PT20061 (164AL-20)	105,918 249,065	-	
Driving While Intoxicated		,	,		
National Priority Safety Programs	20.616	PT20061 (405c TR-20)	25,200		
Subtotal Passed through the California Office of Traffic Sa	ifety		500,548		
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			2,680,940		
				(continued)	

## Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Continued)

For the year ended June 30, 2020

Federal Grantor Program Title	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Program Identification Number	Current Year Federal Expenditures	Disbursements to Subrecipients
Institute of Museum and Library Services: Passed through California State Library: Library Services and Technology Grant Subtotal Passed through the California State Library	45.310	LS-00-19-0005-19	33,177 33,177	<u>-</u> -
<b>Department of Homeland Security</b> Passed through County of Orange: Emergency Management Performance Grant	97.042	2019 EMPG Local Allocation	25,799	
Passed through City of Anaheim: Homeland Security Grant Program (Police)	97.067	2016-SS-0102	2,184	<u> </u>
Total Department of Homeland Security  Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			27,983 <b>\$ 5,631,436</b>	\$ 926,462

#### Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

#### For the year ended June 30, 2020

#### (1) <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of</u> Expenditures of Federal Awards

#### (a) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (the "Schedule") includes the federal grant activity of the City of Huntington Beach (the City) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2020. The information in the Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of and the audit requirement of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net assets or cash flows of the City. The City did not use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate as covered in section 200.414 of the Uniform Guidance.

The City of Huntington Beach includes loans granted under the Community Development Block Grants/Entitlement Grants Revolving Loan Funds.

#### (b) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified-accrual basis of accounting for those grants that were recorded in governmental funds, and on the accrual basis of accounting for those grants that were recorded in proprietary funds. Such expenditures for part of the year are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular 87 Cost Principles for State, Local, and Indian Tribal Governments, and for part of the year contained in Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit requirements for Federal Awards wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

#### (c) Subrecipients

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020, payments to subrecipients consisted of the following:

### **Community Development Block Grant**

B-19-MC-06-0506	\$ 52,970
Program Income	50,814
HOME Investment Partnership Program	
M-15-MC-06-0514	19,034
M-16-MC-06-0514	20,384
Program Income	 783,260
Total Payments to Subrecipient	\$ 926,462

#### Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

#### For the year ended June 30, 2020

#### (Continued)

#### (2) <u>Federal Funded Loans</u>

The City administers loans, primarily deferred loans, made from funds provided by the following federal programs:

		Loans Outstanding		
		as of		
Federal Programs	CFDA No.	June 30, 2020		
Community Development Block				
Grants/Entitlement Grants	14.218	\$ 2,315,254		
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	14.239	13,417,838		
Neighborhood Stabilization Program - HERA	14.228	511,097		

The loans are not subject to continuing compliance requirements. The outstanding loan requirements have not been included in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards.

#### (3) Office of Emergency Services

The following represents federal expenditures for the grant period, which may extend over several fiscal years, for programs from the Department of Justice passed through the Office of Emergency Services:

Violence Against Women Formula Grant LE18016860 from July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020

	Budget		 Actual	Variance	
Personnel costs	\$	39,018	\$ 39,018	\$	-
Operating Expenses/Equipment		73,636	 73,636		
Total	\$	112,654	\$ 112,654	\$	

Violence Against Women Formula Grant LE19036860 from July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020

	Budget		 Actual			Variance		
Personnel costs	\$	64,170	\$ 26,288		\$	37,882		
Operating Expenses/Equipment		138,973	 68,351			70,622		
Total	\$	203,143	\$ 94,640		\$	108,503		

#### **Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**

#### For the year ended June 30, 2020

#### **Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results**

#### **Financial Statement:**

1. Type of auditors' report issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP:

Unmodified

- 2. Internal control over financial reporting:
  - a. Material weakness(es) identified?b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified?

No None Reported

3. Noncompliance material to the financial statements noted?

No

#### **Federal Awards**

1. Internal control over major programs:

a. Material weakness(es) identified?b. Significant deficiency(ies) identified?

No None Reported

2. Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:

Unmodified

3. Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516 (a)?

No

4. Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number

Name of Federal Program or Cluster

14.239

Department of Housing and Urban Development: Home Investment Partnership Program

20.205

U.S. Department of Transportation: Highway Safety Improvement Program

5. Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:

\$750,000

6. Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee?

Yes

#### **Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs**

## For the year ended June 30, 2020

(Continued)

## **Section II - Financial Statement Findings**

There are no findings noted during the year under audit.

## **Section III - Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs**

There are no federal award findings and questioned costs.

## **Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings**

## For the year ended June 30, 2020

## <u>Section IV – Summary Schedule of Prior Year Findings</u>

There were no material audit adjustments noted during the audit for the year ended June 30, 2019.